

VECTOR COHERENT STATES WITH MATRIX MOMENT PROBLEMS

K. THIRULOGASANTHAR AND A.L. HOHOUÉTO

ABSTRACT. Canonical coherent states can be written as infinite series in powers of a single complex number z and a positive integer $\rho(m)$. The requirement that these states realize a resolution of the identity typically results in a moment problem, where the moments form the positive sequence of real numbers $\{\rho(m)\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$. In this paper we obtain new classes of vector coherent states by simultaneously replacing the complex number z and the moments $\rho(m)$ of the canonical coherent states by $n \times n$ matrices. Associated oscillator algebras are discussed with the aid of a generalized matrix factorial. Two physical examples are discussed. In the first example coherent states are obtained for the Jaynes-Cummings model in the weak coupling limit and some physical properties are discussed in terms of the constructed coherent states. In the second example coherent states are obtained for a conditionally exactly solvable supersymmetric radial harmonic oscillator.

1. INTRODUCTION

Overcomplete family of vectors of Hilbert spaces play a pivotal role in quantum theories, signal and image analysis. The most fundamental component in the analysis of the states in quantum Hilbert space of a physical problem is an overcomplete family of vectors known as coherent states (CS for short). The wide use of CS in quantum theories and in other scientific areas has developed the theory of CS to a tremendous extent. Connections between CS and group representations, orthogonal polynomials, Lie algebras have been studied extensively [1, 2, 3, 4, 5].

It is understood that the well-known canonical CS of the harmonic oscillator are described equivalently as eigenstates of the usual bosonic annihilation operator, the trajectory of a displacement operator acting on a fundamental state and as minimum uncertainty states. However, what we observe in different generalizations of the canonical CS is that the preceding equivalence is no longer present. For example, the Barut-Girardello CS are not minimum uncertainty states but they satisfy the other two properties [6, 3]. Moreover, classes of CS were derived as eigenstates of certain operators associated to Hamiltonians [7, 8]. Most of the CS given in [9] can only be seen as an eigenstate of a generalized annihilation operator. There are several articles in the literature where CS are obtained by defining them as minimum uncertainty states, for example see [10].

Properties of the harmonic oscillator canonical CS are well-known. In generalizing the definition of the canonical CS we always intend to keep as many properties of the canonical CS as possible. There are a number of generalized definitions for a set of CS; for different approaches see [1, 2, 3, 11, 12, 13, 14]. In this article we follow the following generalization of the canonical CS and generalize it a step further.

Definition 1.1. Let $\mathfrak{H}$ be a separable Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis $\{\phi_m\}_{m=0}^\infty$ and $\mathbb{C}$ be the complex plane. For $z \in \mathfrak{D}$, an open subset of $\mathbb{C}$, the states

$$(1.1) \quad |z\rangle = N(|z|)^{-1/2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^m}{\sqrt{\rho(m)}} \phi_m \in \mathfrak{H}$$

are said to form a set of coherent states if the following conditions hold:

- (i) For each $z \in \mathfrak{D}$, the state $|z\rangle$ is normalized, that is, $\langle z | z \rangle = 1$;
- (ii) The set, $\{|z\rangle : z \in \mathfrak{D}\}$ permits a resolution of the identity, that is,

$$(1.2) \quad \int_{\mathfrak{D}} |z\rangle \langle z| d\mu = I,$$

where $N(|z|)$ is a normalization factor, $\{\rho(m)\}_{m=0}^\infty$ is a positive sequence of real numbers, and $d\mu$ is an appropriately chosen measure on $\mathfrak{D}$.

Vector coherent states are well-known mathematical objects, often they are defined as orbits of vectors under the operators of unitary representations of groups [1, 15]. However, in [13] vector coherent states (VCS for short) were developed as n component vectors in a Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathfrak{H}$ by replacing the complex variable z of (1.1) by an $n \times n$ matrix

$$(1.3) \quad Z = A(r)e^{i\zeta\Theta(k)},$$

where $A(r)$ and $\Theta(k)$ are $n \times n$ matrices such that

$$(1.4) \quad [A(r), A(r)^\dagger] = 0, \quad \Theta(k) = \Theta(k)^\dagger, \quad [A(r), \Theta(k)] = 0,$$

where the variables r , k and ζ live in appropriate measure spaces, and $M^\dagger$ stands for the transposed complex conjugate of the matrix M . In [14] as a further generalization of [13] VCS were studied as infinite component vectors in a suitable Hilbert space. The term VCS was used in [13, 14] to describe that when the complex number z of definition 1.1 is replaced by an $n \times n$ square matrix we obtain CS as n component vectors. However, in [13] for some particular cases the link to a group representation was derived.

The physical motivation of the generalization given in this paper is the construction of CS for multi-level quantum systems with non-degenerate discrete spectrum. In the literature, for two level atoms CS were constructed in the form of (1.1) to each level [16]. In the present scheme, using matrices, we develop a more systematic method to derive CS for multi-level quantum systems with non-degenerate infinite energy spectrum. We shall also apply the same method to construct CS for super-symmetric Hamiltonians with non-degenerate energies. Here again the method is different from the ones appearing in the literature (see Subsection 5.2).

The simplest model in use for the description of a single two-level atom interacting with a single cavity mode of the electromagnetic field is the Jaynes-Cummings model (JC). This model is exactly solvable in the rotating wave approximation, where one may use the diagonalization technique to solve it [16]. If one neglects losses, multi-mode multi-level generalizations of the JC can be solved exactly using the exact solvability of the JC [17, 18, 19]. Suppose we have a diagonalizable Hamiltonian H for a n -level atom in a single-mode cavity field with non-degenerate energies E_m^k and wavefunctions ψ_m^k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$; $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty$. Let $x_m^k = E_m^k - E_0^k$; $k = 1, \dots, n$. Let $R(m) = [\text{diag}(x_m^1!, \dots, x_m^n!)]$ and $Z = \text{diag}(z_1, \dots, z_n)$

be diagonal matrices, where $x_m^k! = x_1^k \dots x_m^k$ is the generalized factorial. Assume that the following vectors are normalized and satisfy a resolution of the identity,

$$(1.5) \quad |Z, k\rangle = N(Z)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} R(m)^{-\frac{1}{2}} Z^m \Psi_m^k; \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

where $\Psi_m^k := (0, \dots, 0, \psi_m^k, 0, \dots, 0)$, and ψ_m^k is placed in the k th position. The collection of vectors (1.5) forms a set of CS for the diagonalized Hamiltonian H_D . A general set of CS for the Hamiltonian H_D can be written as

$$|Z\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k |Z, k\rangle \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_{k=1}^n |c_k|^2 = 1.$$

Further, if O is the diagonalization operator such that $H = OH_D O^\dagger$ then the above sets of CS can be transformed as CS of H with the aid of the operator O . A similar argument for a two-level system leading to the quaternionic VCS of [13] was given in [14].

The states (1.5) can be considered as a generalization of (1.1), in which the complex number z and the positive sequence of real numbers $\rho(m)$ are replaced by $n \times n$ diagonal matrices. Motivated from the above discussion, in this article, as a generalization to definition 1.1 and to [13], we construct VCS by replacing both the complex variable z and the positive numbers $\rho(m)$ by $n \times n$ matrices Z and $R(m)$ respectively. To be more general, we will carry out our construction with more general matrices than the diagonal ones. In order to be consistent with one of the three equivalent definitions of the canonical CS we introduce an oscillator algebra by defining a matrix factorial and realize the VCS as eigenstates of a generalized annihilation operator. As a physical example of the construction, the JC model in quantum optics can be taken. We shall justify this claim in Section 5 and use the constructed VCS to obtain various physical quantities associated to the problem. These quantities may be used to justify the validity of the construction. Apart from quantum mechanical point of view, the following sets of VCS are continuous tight frames and thereby they may find applications in multi-channel signal processing.

Since we have replaced z and $\rho(m)$ by matrices, and matrices do not commute in general, the order in which the products of matrices are computed is primordial, that is, we can choose either the order $R(m)Z^m$ or $Z^m R(m)$ (that we will call from now on “ R - Z ordering” and “ Z - R ordering”, or, abusively, “ R - Z representation” and “ Z - R representation”). Whatever the choice is, the construction of VCS ends up with a matrix moment problem. However, a crucial fact appears: According to the “representation” used, the construction of CS may succeed in one case and fail in the other case.

2. VCS WITH THE R-Z ORDERING

Let $r \in [0, \infty)$ and $\zeta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Let $A(r)$ and $R(m)$ be $n \times n$ matrices. Set $Z = A(r)e^{i\zeta}$. Let $\chi^1, \dots, \chi^n$ be the canonical orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\{\phi_m\}_{m=0}^\infty$ be an orthonormal basis of an abstract separable Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$. Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}} = \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathfrak{H}$. Then, $\{\chi^j \otimes \phi_m : j = 1, \dots, n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$. Define the set of states

$$(2.1) \quad |Z, j\rangle = N(|Z|)^{-1/2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} R(m) Z^m \chi^j \otimes \phi_m \in \widehat{\mathfrak{H}}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and denote

$$(2.2) \quad |M| = [MM^\dagger]^{1/2} = [M^\dagger M]^{1/2}.$$

Theorem 2.1. *The states in (2.1) are VCS in the sense that they satisfy the normalization condition and realize a resolution of the identity, that is,*

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \langle Z, j | Z, j \rangle = 1,$$

$$(2.4) \quad \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^n |Z, j\rangle \langle Z, j| W(|Z|) d\mu = \mathbb{I}_n \otimes I,$$

provided that

$$(2.5) \quad N(|Z|) = \sum_{m=0}^\infty \text{Tr}\{[R(m)A(r)^m]^\dagger [R(m)A(r)^m]\} = \sum_{m=0}^\infty \text{Tr} |R(m)A(r)^m|^2 < \infty,$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad 2\pi \int_0^\infty N(|Z|)^{-1} [R(m)A(r)^m] [R(m)A(r)^m]^\dagger W(|Z|) d\nu = \mathbb{I}_n,$$

where $d\nu$ and $d\mu$ are appropriate measures on $[0, \infty)$ and $[0, \infty) \times [0, 2\pi)$ respectively, and $W(|Z|)$ is a positive weight function.

Proof. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^n \langle Z, j | Z, j \rangle &= N(|Z|)^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{m=0}^\infty \sum_{l=0}^\infty \langle R(m)Z^m \chi^j | R(l)Z^l \chi^j \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \langle \phi_m | \phi_l \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \\ &= N(|Z|)^{-1} \sum_{m=0}^\infty \text{Tr} |R(m)A(r)^m|^2 = 1, \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for $d\mu = d\nu d\zeta$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^n |Z, j\rangle \langle Z, j| W(|Z|) d\mu = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{m=0}^\infty \sum_{l=0}^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} N(|Z|)^{-1} |R(m)Z^m \chi^j \otimes \phi_m\rangle \\ &\quad \langle R(l)Z^l \chi^j \otimes \phi_l | W(|Z|) d\mu \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^\infty \sum_{l=0}^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} N(|Z|)^{-1} [R(m)Z^m] \left[\sum_{j=1}^n |\chi^j\rangle \langle \chi^j| \right] [R(l)Z^l]^\dagger W(|Z|) \\ &\quad \otimes |\phi_m\rangle \langle \phi_l| d\mu \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^\infty \sum_{l=0}^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(m-l)\zeta} N(|Z|)^{-1} [R(m)A(r)^m] [R(l)A(r)^l]^\dagger W(|Z|) \\ &\quad \otimes |\phi_m\rangle \langle \phi_l| d\mu \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[2\pi \int_0^{\infty} N(|Z|)^{-1} [R(m)A(r)^m] [R(m)A(r)^m]^{\dagger} W(|Z|) d\nu \right] \otimes |\phi_m\rangle \langle \phi_m| \\
&= \mathbb{I}_n \otimes \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |\phi_m\rangle \langle \phi_m| = \mathbb{I}_n \otimes I,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the following facts:

$$\sum_{j=1}^n |\chi^j\rangle \langle \chi^j| = \mathbb{I}_n \quad , \quad \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(m-l)\zeta} d\zeta = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \neq l \\ 2\pi & \text{if } m = l \end{cases} ,$$

and the condition (2.6). $\square$

Before moving to examples let us make a comment. In general, the $\rho(m)$'s of (1.1) form a positive sequence of real numbers. In the examples exhibited hereafter, some of the entries of the matrix $R(m)$ contain negative values. These values do not violate the basic definition of moment problems as long as we recover the classical schemes of moment problems.

Example 2.2. Let x be a fixed real number, $r \in [0, \infty)$, and $\zeta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Set

$$(2.7) \quad Z = \begin{pmatrix} \cos x & -\sin x \\ \sin x & \cos x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda(r) & 0 \\ 0 & \mu(r) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos x & -\sin x \\ \sin x & \cos x \end{pmatrix}^T e^{i\zeta},$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad R(m) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_1(m) \cot x & \rho_1(m) \\ \rho_2(m) & -\rho_2(m) \cot x \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then,

$$R(m)Z^m = e^{im\zeta} \begin{pmatrix} \rho_1(m)\lambda(r)^m \cot x & \rho_1(m)\lambda(r)^m \\ \rho_2(m)\mu(r)^m & -\rho_2(m)\mu(r)^m \cot x \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$[R(m)Z^m][R(m)Z^m]^{\dagger} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_1(m)^2 \lambda(r)^{2m} \csc^2 x & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_2(m)^2 \mu(r)^{2m} \csc^2 x \end{pmatrix}.$$

Because of the properties of the trace, there is no need to compute $[R(m)Z^m]^{\dagger}[R(m)Z^m]$ before knowing its trace, since, even though the two matrices are different, they have the same trace. Hence,

$$\text{Tr}\{[R(m)Z^m]^{\dagger}[R(m)Z^m]\} = \csc^2 x [\rho_1(m)^2 \lambda(r)^{2m} + \rho_2(m)^2 \mu(r)^{2m}].$$

Thus, the normalization condition (2.5) and the condition for a resolution of the identity (2.6) demand the following

$$(2.9) \quad N(|Z|) = \csc^2 x \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} [\rho_1(m)^2 \lambda(r)^{2m} + \rho_2(m)^2 \mu(r)^{2m}] < \infty,$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad 2\pi \begin{pmatrix} I_1 & 0 \\ 0 & I_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbb{I}_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_0^\infty N(|Z|)^{-1} \rho_1(m)^2 \lambda(r)^{2m} \csc^2 x d\nu, \\ I_2 &= \int_0^\infty N(|Z|)^{-1} \rho_2(m)^2 \mu(r)^{2m} \csc^2 x d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Let us solve this problem for some special values.

$$(a) \text{ Fix } x = \frac{\pi}{4}, \lambda(r) = r, \mu(r) = 2r, \rho_1(m) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m!}}, \text{ and } \rho_2(m) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4^m m!}}.$$

Further, fix the measure as $d\nu = \frac{2}{\pi} r dr$. Then, (2.9) and (2.10) take the form

$$(2.11) \quad N(|Z|) = 4 \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{r^{2m}}{m!} = 4e^{r^2},$$

$$(2.12) \quad 2\pi I_1 = 2\pi I_2 = \frac{\pi}{m!} \int_0^\infty e^{-r^2} r^{2m} \frac{2}{\pi} r dr = 1.$$

$$(b) \text{ For } x = \frac{\pi}{6}, \lambda(r) = 3r, \mu(r) = 2r, \rho_1(m) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{9^m m!}}, \text{ and } \rho_2(m) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4^m m!}},$$

let us take the measure to be $d\nu = \frac{2}{\pi} r dr$. Then, (2.9) and (2.10) become (2.11) and (2.12).

Now, let us look at a more systematic way of building examples.

2.1. A particular class of VCS with the R-Z ordering. Let B be an $n \times n$ fixed matrix such that $BB^T = B^T B = \mathbb{I}_n$. Let $D = \text{diag}(f_1(z_1), \dots, f_n(z_n))$, where $z_j = r_j e^{i\zeta_j}$, $r_j \in \mathfrak{D}_j$ (the domain of r_j), and $\zeta_j \in [0, 2\pi)$. Form

$$(2.13) \quad Z = BDB^T.$$

Then,

$$Z^m = BD^m B^T.$$

Let

$$(2.14) \quad R(m) = (\rho_1(m)C_1, \rho_2(m)C_2, \dots, \rho_n(m)C_n)^T,$$

where the C_j 's are the columns of B . We intend to have VCS as,

$$(2.15) \quad |Z, j\rangle = N(|Z|)^{-1/2} \sum_{m=0}^\infty R(m) Z^m \chi^j \otimes \phi_m.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} [R(m)Z^m][R(l)Z^l]^\dagger &= \\ &= \text{diag} \left(\rho_1(m)\rho_1(l)f_1(z_1)^m \overline{f_1(z_1)^l}, \dots, \rho_n(m)\rho_n(l)f_n(z_n)^m \overline{f_n(z_n)^l} \right), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}\{[R(m)Z^m]^\dagger [R(m)Z^m]\} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i(m)^2 |f_i(z_i)|^{2m} \\ &= \rho_1(m)^2 |f_1(z_1)|^{2m} + \rho_2(m)^2 |f_2(z_2)|^{2m} + \dots + \rho_n(m)^2 |f_n(z_n)|^{2m}, \end{aligned}$$

and the normalization condition becomes

$$(2.16) \quad N(|Z|) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i(m)^2 |f_i(z_i)|^{2m}.$$

Setting then

$$(2.17) \quad d\mu = d\nu(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) d\lambda(r_1, \dots, r_n),$$

with

$$(2.18) \quad d\lambda(r_1, \dots, r_n) = N(|Z|) W(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) dr_1 dr_2 \dots dr_n,$$

$$(2.19) \quad d\nu(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) = \frac{d\zeta_1 \dots d\zeta_n}{\pi^n},$$

and assuming that

$$(2.20) \quad \int_{\mathfrak{D}_1} \dots \int_{\mathfrak{D}_n} \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} f_{k_0}(z_{k_0})^m \overline{f_{k_0}(z_{k_0})}^l d\mu = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \neq l \\ \pi^n \rho_{k_0}(m) & \text{if } m = l \end{cases}$$

for some $k_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, and

$$\int_{\mathfrak{D}_1} \dots \int_{\mathfrak{D}_n} \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} |f_k(z_k)|^{2m} d\mu = \pi^n \rho_k(m)$$

for all $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} - \{k_0\}$, if the series in (2.16) converges, then the states in (2.15) form a set of VCS. Illustrative examples can easily be seen.

3. VCS WITH THE Z-R ORDERING

So far, we had the matrix $R(m)$ on the left of Z^m . If we change the ordering the construction fails in most of the cases developed above. In this section, we show that the construction can be however carried out in the Z-R ordering, that is, when $R(m)$ is on the right of Z^m . Let us give a simple way of getting VCS of this sort. Consider $R(m)$ and Z such that

$$(3.1) \quad Z = B e^{i\theta},$$

$$(3.2) \quad R(m) R(m)^\dagger = R(m)^\dagger R(m) = \rho(m) \mathbb{I}_n, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(3.3) \quad B^m B^{m\dagger} = B^{m\dagger} B^m = f(|Z|)^m \mathbb{I}_n.$$

For instance, Clifford type matrices satisfy (3.3) [20]. Therefore, we can construct VCS as

$$(3.4) \quad |Z, j\rangle = N(|Z|)^{-1/2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} Z^m R(m) \chi^j \otimes \phi_m, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

provided that

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} f(|Z|)^m \rho(m) < \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathcal{R}} f(|Z|)^m d\mu = \rho(m),$$

where $\mathcal{R}$ is the parametrization domain of B , and $d\mu$ a measure on it. An illustrative example can easily be seen.

4. THE GENERALIZED OSCILLATOR ALGEBRA

We aim in this section to define a generalized oscillator algebra related to the Z-R ordering in the construction of VCS. To this end, we define a generalized factorial with matrices, and, thereby, we define a generalized oscillator algebra for the states in (3.4). Let us recall first how this construction was done for the states (1.1).

Let

$$(4.1) \quad x_m = \frac{\rho(m)}{\rho(m-1)}, \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Then, the so-called generalized factorial can be defined as

$$(4.2) \quad \rho(m) = x_m x_{m-1} \dots x_1 = x_m!, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}^*,$$

with $x_0! := 1$. For an orthonormal basis $\{\phi_m\}_{m=0}^\infty$ of the Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$, the generalized annihilation, creation, and number operators are defined respectively as (see [1])

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}\phi_m &= \sqrt{x_m}\phi_{m-1}, \quad \text{with } \mathbf{a}\phi_0 = 0, \\ \mathbf{a}^\dagger\phi_m &= \sqrt{x_{m+1}}\phi_{m+1}, \\ \mathbf{n}\phi_m &= x_m\phi_m, \end{aligned}$$

and the commutators take the form

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}^\dagger]\phi_m &= (x_{m+1} - x_m)I\phi_m, \\ [\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{a}]\phi_m &= -(x_m - x_{m-1})\mathbf{a}\phi_m, \\ [\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{a}^\dagger]\phi_m &= (x_{m+1} - x_m)\mathbf{a}^\dagger\phi_m. \end{aligned}$$

The CS, $|z\rangle$ are eigenvectors of the annihilation operator $\mathbf{a}$, that is, $\mathbf{a}|z\rangle = z|z\rangle$. Under the commutation operation, these three operators generate a Lie algebra which is called the *generalized oscillator algebra*, and denoted by $\mathfrak{U}_{\text{osc}}$. In general, the dimension of this algebra is not finite. From the commutation relations, it is obvious that the dimension is completely depending on the form of x_m .

In the same spirit, let us define, for an $n \times n$ matrix $R(m)$,

$$(4.3) \quad x_m = R(m)R(m-1)^{-1}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Therefore, we can define

$$(4.4) \quad \text{for } m \geq 1, \quad x_m! = x_m x_{m-1} \dots x_1 = R(m), \quad \text{and } x_0! = \mathbb{I}_n,$$

where we have assumed that $R(m)$ is invertible for all $m \geq 1$ and $R(0) = \mathbb{I}_n$. Here, the annihilation, creation, and number operators have to be defined on the basis $\{\chi^j \otimes \phi_m\}_{m \geq 0, j=1, \dots, n}$. To this end, let us consider the $n \times n$ elementary matrices E_{ij} , $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, which have each a unit in the (i, j) th position and zero elsewhere. Note that, for $i, j, k, \ell = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$(4.5) \quad E_{ij}E_{k\ell} = \delta_{jk}E_{i\ell},$$

$$(4.6) \quad E_k x_m E_\ell = (x_m)_{kl}E_{k\ell},$$

$$(4.7) \quad x_m E_{k\ell} = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_m)_{ik}E_{i\ell}, \quad \text{and} \quad E_{k\ell}x_m = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_m)_{\ell i}E_{ki},$$

$$(4.8) \quad E_{k\ell}\chi^j = \delta_{\ell j}\chi^k,$$

where we have denoted $E_k = E_{kk}$, and δ_{kj} is the Kronecker symbol. Since x_m does not depend on j , for each j , we can define a set of annihilation, creation and number operator. Let us denote them by A_j , $A_j^\dagger$, N_j , with

$$(4.9) \quad A_j = E_j \otimes \mathbf{a} \quad , \quad A_j^\dagger = E_j \otimes \mathbf{a}^\dagger \quad , \quad N_j = E_j \otimes \mathbf{n}.$$

The action of these operators on the basis elements of $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$ should be understood in the following way: For each $j, k = 1, \dots, n$, we define

$$(4.10) \quad A_k \chi^j \otimes \phi_m = x_m^{-1} E_k \chi^j \otimes \phi_{m-1} = \delta_{kj} x_m^{-1} \chi^k \otimes \phi_{m-1},$$

with $A_k \chi^j \otimes \phi_0 = 0$,

$$(4.11) \quad A_k^\dagger \chi^j \otimes \phi_m = x_{m+1}^{-1} E_k \chi^j \otimes \phi_{m+1} = \delta_{kj} x_{m+1}^{-1} \chi^k \otimes \phi_{m+1},$$

$$(4.12) \quad N_k \chi^j \otimes \phi_m = (x_m^{-1} E_k)^2 \chi^j \otimes \phi_m = \delta_{kj} x_m^{-1} E_k x_m^{-1} \chi^k \otimes \phi_m$$

$$= \delta_{kj} (x_m^{-1})_{kk} x_m^{-1} \chi^k \otimes \phi_m,$$

The commutators take then the the form

$$\begin{aligned} [A_k, A_\ell^\dagger] \chi^j \otimes \phi_m &= \{\delta_{\ell j} x_{m+1}^{-1} E_k x_{m+1}^{-1} \chi^\ell - \delta_{kj} x_m^{-1} E_\ell x_m^{-1} \chi^k\} \otimes \phi_m \\ &= \{\delta_{\ell j} (x_{m+1}^{-1})_{k\ell} x_{m+1}^{-1} \chi^k - \delta_{kj} (x_m^{-1})_{\ell k} x_m^{-1} \chi^\ell\} \otimes \phi_m, \\ [N_k, A_\ell] \chi^j \otimes \phi_m &= \{\delta_{\ell j} (x_{m-1}^{-1})_{kk} x_{m-1}^{-1} E_k x_m^{-1} \chi^\ell - \delta_{kj} (x_m^{-1})_{\ell k} x_m^{-1} E_\ell x_m^{-1} \chi^k\} \otimes \phi_{m-1} \\ &= \{\delta_{\ell j} (x_{m-1}^{-1})_{kk} (x_m^{-1})_{k\ell} x_{m-1}^{-1} \chi^k - \delta_{kj} (x_m^{-1})_{\ell k} (x_m^{-1})_{kk} x_m^{-1} \chi^\ell\} \otimes \phi_{m-1}, \\ [N_k, A_\ell^\dagger] \chi^j \otimes \phi_m &= x_{m+1}^{-1} \{\delta_{\ell j} (x_{m+1}^{-1})_{kk} E_k x_{m+1}^{-1} \chi^\ell - \delta_{kj} (x_m^{-1})_{\ell k} E_\ell x_m^{-1} \chi^k\} \otimes \phi_{m+1} \\ &= x_{m+1}^{-1} \{\delta_{\ell j} (x_{m+1}^{-1})_{kk} (x_{m+1}^{-1})_{k\ell} \chi^k - \delta_{kj} (x_m^{-1})_{\ell k} (x_m^{-1})_{kk} \chi^\ell\} \otimes \phi_{m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

We can therefore define the “global” annihilation, creation and number operators A , $A^\dagger$, and N on $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$ as

$$(4.13) \quad A = \sum_{k=1}^n A_k = \mathbb{I}_n \otimes \mathbf{a}, \quad A^\dagger = \sum_{k=1}^n A_k^\dagger = \mathbb{I}_n \otimes \mathbf{a}^\dagger, \quad N = \sum_{k=1}^n N_k = \mathbb{I}_n \otimes \mathbf{n}.$$

We have then that

$$(4.14) \quad A \chi^j \otimes \phi_m = x_m^{-1} \chi^j \otimes \phi_{m-1} = A_j \chi^j \otimes \phi_m, \quad \text{with} \quad A \chi^j \otimes \phi_0 = 0,$$

$$(4.15) \quad A^\dagger \chi^j \otimes \phi_m = x_{m+1}^{-1} \chi^j \otimes \phi_{m+1} = A_j^\dagger \chi^j \otimes \phi_m,$$

$$(4.16) \quad N \chi^j \otimes \phi_m = (x_m^{-1})_{jj} x_m^{-1} \chi^j \otimes \phi_m = N_j \chi^j \otimes \phi_m,$$

and the commutators read

$$(4.17) \quad [A, A^\dagger] \chi^j \otimes \phi_m = (x_{m+1}^{-2} - x_m^{-2}) [\mathbb{I}_n \otimes I] \chi^j \otimes \phi_m,$$

$$(4.18) \quad [N, A] \chi^j \otimes \phi_m = -(x_m^{-2} - x_{m-1}^{-2}) A \chi^j \otimes \phi_m,$$

$$(4.19) \quad [N, A^\dagger] \chi^j \otimes \phi_m = x_{m+1}^{-1} (x_{m+1}^{-1} - x_m^{-2} x_{m+1}) A^\dagger \chi^j \otimes \phi_m.$$

In order to realize the VCS as eigenstates of the annihilation operator in the Z-R representation, the states can be written in terms of x_m as

$$(4.20) \quad |Z, j\rangle = N(|Z|)^{-1/2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} Z^m R(m) \chi^j \otimes \phi_m = N(|Z|)^{-1/2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} Z^m x_m! \chi^j \otimes \phi_m,$$

and the action of A_k reads,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.21) \quad A_k |Z, j\rangle &= N(|Z|)^{-1/2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Z^m x_m! \delta_{kj} x_m^{-1} \chi^j \otimes \phi_{m-1} \\
 &= \delta_{kj} N(|Z|)^{-1/2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} Z^{m+1} x_{m+1}! x_{m+1}^{-1} \chi^j \otimes \phi_m \\
 &= \delta_{kj} Z |Z, j\rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows immediately that

$$(4.22) \quad A |Z, j\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n A_k |Z, j\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{kj} Z |Z, j\rangle = Z |Z, j\rangle,$$

that is, the Z-R ordering VCS are eigenstates of the annihilation operator A .

Let us look now at the algebra and the actions of the operators for a particular example.

Example 4.1. In Example 2.2-(a), $R(m)$ had the form

$$R(m) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m!}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{m!}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{4^m m!}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{4^m m!}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

When $R(m)^\dagger$ is placed on the right of Z^m , that is,

$$|Z, j\rangle = N(|Z|)^{-1/2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} Z^m R(m)^\dagger \chi^j \otimes \phi_m,$$

the normalization factor and the resolution of the identity remain the same (the weight is the same). For this particular $R(m)$, x_m wears the form

$$(4.23) \quad x_m = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{m}} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let

$$C = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 5 & -3 \\ -3 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Under the action of the operators defined as above and their commutators, in this particular example, we have

$$(4.24) \quad A = C \otimes \mathfrak{a}, \quad A^\dagger = C \otimes \mathfrak{a}^\dagger, \quad N = \frac{3}{2} C \otimes \mathfrak{n}.$$

In this case, the defining relations of the deformed oscillator algebra are

$$(4.25) \quad [A, A^\dagger] = D \otimes I, \quad [N, A] = -DA, \quad [N, A^\dagger] = DA^\dagger.$$

If we redefine the operators $A, A^\dagger, N$ as

$$(4.26) \quad \tilde{A} = EA, \quad \tilde{A}^\dagger = EA^\dagger, \quad \tilde{N} = \tilde{A}^\dagger \tilde{A},$$

we recover the classical harmonic oscillator algebra, with

$$(4.27) \quad [\tilde{A}, \tilde{A}^\dagger] = \mathbb{I}_2 \otimes I, \quad [\tilde{N}, \tilde{A}] = -\tilde{A}, \quad [\tilde{N}, \tilde{A}^\dagger] = \tilde{A}^\dagger.$$

In the following section we discuss physical applications of VCS.

5. PHYSICAL EXAMPLES

In this section we consider two physical examples. As a first example we construct VCS for a special case of the Jaynes-Cummings model and study some physical properties. In the second example we derive VCS for a conditionally exactly solvable supersymmetric harmonic oscillator.

5.1. Example: Jaynes-Cummings model. Let us consider the well-known Jaynes-Cummings Hamiltonian [16, 21]. It is diagonalizable, and it describes a two-level atom interacting with a single mode interaction field. In the rotating wave approximation, it reads ($\hbar = 1$)

$$(5.1) \quad H_{JC} = \omega(\mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} + \frac{1}{2})\sigma_0 + \frac{\omega_0}{2}\sigma_3 + \kappa(\mathbf{a}^\dagger \sigma_- + \mathbf{a} \sigma_+)$$

where ω is the field mode frequency, ω_0 the atomic frequency, κ a coupling constant, $\sigma_0 = \mathbb{I}_2$ is the 2×2 identity matrix, $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$ are the Pauli matrices, and

$$(5.2) \quad \sigma_+ = \sigma_1 + i\sigma_2, \quad \sigma_- = \sigma_1 - i\sigma_2.$$

The Hamiltonian (5.1) and its generalizations have been used to study several physical problems (for example, atomic interactions with electromagnetic fields [22, 21], spontaneous emissions in cavity [23], Rabi oscillations [24], ions in harmonic traps [25], and quantum computations [26]). In the following we construct VCS for a special case of the H_{JC} . This set of VCS can be used to compute physical quantities associated to the problem under consideration. We shall compute expectation values, dispersion, average energy and the signal to quantum noise ratio.

It is known that the Hamiltonian H_{JC} can be diagonalized as

$$O^\dagger H_{JC} O = H_D = \begin{pmatrix} H_{D(+)} & 0 \\ 0 & H_{D(-)} \end{pmatrix},$$

where O is the diagonalization operator. From the diagonal form the energy eigenvalues can be obtained as

$$E_n^+ = \omega n + \kappa r(n) \quad \text{and} \quad E_n^- = \omega(n+1) - \kappa r(n+1),$$

where $r(n) = \sqrt{\delta + n}$, $\delta = (\frac{\Delta}{2\kappa})^2$ and $\Delta = \omega - \omega_0$ is the detuning with $\Delta > 0$. Since $\Delta > 0$ we have $E_{n+1}^- > E_n^-$. If $0 < \kappa/\omega \leq 2\sqrt{\delta+1}$ the energies E_n^+ are strictly increasing and non-degenerate [16]. Let

$$\omega_\pm = \frac{\omega \pm \kappa^2}{\Delta} \quad \text{and} \quad e_n^\pm = E_n^\pm - E_0^\pm.$$

In the weak coupling limit case we expand $e_n^\pm$ and by keeping at most terms of order two in κ we get [16]

$$e_n^\pm(\kappa \ll) = \omega_\pm(\kappa)n.$$

In this case, let us again denote the diagonalized version of the Hamiltonian H_{JC} by H_D and let $\psi_n^\pm$ be the corresponding normalized energy states. Set

$$\rho_\pm(n) = e_1^\pm e_2^\pm \cdots e_n^\pm = [\omega_\pm(\kappa)]^n \Gamma(n+1)$$

Since the Hilbert space of H_{JC} can be taken [16] as the linear span of

$$\left\{ \psi_n^- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ |n\rangle \end{pmatrix}, \psi_n^+ = \begin{pmatrix} |n\rangle \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \right\}$$

we make the following identification

$$(5.3) \quad \psi_n^+ := \begin{pmatrix} \phi_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \chi_1 \otimes \phi_n \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_n^- := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \psi_n \end{pmatrix} = \chi_2 \otimes \phi_n,$$

where $\{\chi_1, \chi_2\}$ is the natural basis of $\mathbb{C}^2$ and $\{\phi_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$. Set

$$R(n) = \text{diag}(\rho_+(n), \rho_-(n)) \quad \text{and} \quad Z = \text{diag}(z_1, z_2).$$

$$(5.4) \quad |Z, j\rangle = \mathcal{N}(Z)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R(n)^{-\frac{1}{2}} Z^n \chi_j \otimes \phi_n; \quad j = 1, 2.$$

forms a set of CS for the Hamiltonian H_D , where $z_1 = r_1 e^{i\theta_1}$, $z_2 = r_2 e^{i\theta_2}$, $r_1, r_2 \in [0, \infty)$ and $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in [0, 2\pi)$. In this case, the normalization factor is given by

$$\mathcal{N}(Z) = e^{r_1^2/\omega_+(\kappa)} + e^{r_2^2/\omega_-(\kappa)}$$

and a resolution of the identity is obtained with the measure

$$d\mu(Z) = \frac{r_1 r_2}{\pi^2 \omega_+(\kappa) \omega_-(\kappa)} e^{-r_1^2/\omega_+(\kappa)} e^{-r_2^2/\omega_-(\kappa)} \mathcal{N}(Z) dr_1 dr_2 d\theta_1 d\theta_2.$$

Let $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|c_1|^2 + |c_2|^2 = 1$ then the vectors

$$(5.5) \quad |Z\rangle = c_1 |Z, 1\rangle + c_2 |Z, 2\rangle$$

form a general set of CS for the Hamiltonian H_D and these CS can be transformed back to the original Hamiltonian using the unitary operator O . Further, under this transformation the mean values are invariant [16]. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ let

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \cos x & -\sin x \\ \sin x & \cos x \end{pmatrix}.$$

since U is a unitary matrix, in (5.4) if we replace $R(n)^{-\frac{1}{2}} Z^n$ by $UR(n)^{-\frac{1}{2}} Z^n U^\dagger$ the resulting vectors still form a set VCS with the same normalization factor as that of (5.4). In this case a resolution of the identity is obtained with the measure $d\zeta(Z, U) = d\mu(Z) d\nu(U)$ where $d\nu(U)$ is the normalized invariant measure of $\mathbb{R}^+$. Further observe that

$$(5.6) \quad U |Z, k\rangle U^\dagger \neq \mathcal{N}(Z)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} UR(n)^{-\frac{1}{2}} Z^n U^\dagger \chi_k \otimes \phi_n = |Z, U, k\rangle.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} |z_1\rangle &= \frac{1}{e^{r_1^2/\omega_+(\kappa)} + e^{r_2^2/\omega_-(\kappa)}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z_1^n}{\sqrt{\omega_+(\kappa)^n n!}} \phi_n \\ |z_2\rangle &= \frac{1}{e^{r_1^2/\omega_+(\kappa)} + e^{r_2^2/\omega_-(\kappa)}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z_2^n}{\sqrt{\omega_-(\kappa)^n n!}} \phi_n. \end{aligned}$$

Then the states $|Z, U, k\rangle$ can be explicitly written as

$$|Z, U, 1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2 x |z_1\rangle + \sin^2 x |z_2\rangle \\ \sin x \cos x (|z_1\rangle - |z_2\rangle) \end{pmatrix}, \quad |Z, U, 2\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \sin x \cos x (|z_1\rangle - |z_2\rangle) \\ \sin^2 x |z_1\rangle + \cos^2 x |z_2\rangle \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we set $x = 0$ in $|Z, U, k\rangle$ we recover $|Z, k\rangle$. In (5.4) if we replace Z and $R(n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ respectively by $UZU^\dagger$ and $(\sqrt{\rho_+(n)}C_1, \sqrt{\rho_-(n)}C_2)^T$, where C_1 and C_2 are the column vectors of U , by the argument of the Subsection 2.1 we can have a set

of VCS associated with the Hamiltonian H_D . In fact the sets of VCS (5.4) and (5.6) can be considered as a blend of standard spin CS and the canonical CS with $n!$ replaced by $\omega_{\pm}(\kappa)^n$ [3, 13]. Thus these VCS may be considered as a coherent state wavefunction of a non-relativistic two-level particle in the weak coupling limit. In the weak coupling limit case, the Hamiltonian H_D can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} H_D &= \begin{pmatrix} H_{D(+)} & 0 \\ 0 & H_{D(-)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_+ a^\dagger a & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_- a^\dagger a \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\omega_+} a^\dagger & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\omega_-} a^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\omega_+} a & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\omega_-} a \end{pmatrix} = A^\dagger A, \end{aligned}$$

where $a\phi_n = \sqrt{n}\phi_{n-1}$ and $a^\dagger\phi_n = \sqrt{n+1}\phi_{n+1}$. A and $A^\dagger$ are the annihilation and creation operators for H_D . Let $N = A^\dagger A = H_D$. We can also define the self-adjoint quadrature operators:

$$Q = \frac{A + A^\dagger}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad P = \frac{A - A^\dagger}{i\sqrt{2}}.$$

Under the interpretation that the constructed VCS are the coherent state wavefunction of a two-level particle, the following mean values can be interpreted as the average values of the observables that we would expect to obtain for the particle from a large number of measurements. The mean value of an operator F in a state ψ is given by $\langle F \rangle_\psi = \langle \psi | F | \psi \rangle$. Let

$$G(r_1, r_2) = \frac{e^{r_1^2/\omega_+}}{e^{r_1^2/\omega_+} + e^{r_2^2/\omega_-}}, \quad \mathfrak{G}(r_1, r_2) = \frac{e^{r_2^2/\omega_-}}{e^{r_1^2/\omega_+} + e^{r_2^2/\omega_-}}.$$

Let us see the mean values of the operators associated with H_D in the states (5.4).

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A \rangle_{|Z,1\rangle} &= z_1 G(r_1, r_2), \quad \langle A \rangle_{|Z,2\rangle} = z_2 \mathfrak{G}(r_1, r_2), \quad \langle A^\dagger \rangle_{|Z,1\rangle} = \bar{z}_1 G(r_1, r_2), \\ \langle A^\dagger \rangle_{|Z,2\rangle} &= \bar{z}_2 \mathfrak{G}(r_1, r_2), \quad \langle H_D \rangle_{|Z,1\rangle} = r_1^2 G(r_1, r_2), \quad \langle H_D \rangle_{|Z,2\rangle} = r_2^2 \mathfrak{G}(r_1, r_2) \\ \langle Q \rangle_{|Z,1\rangle} &= \sqrt{2} r_1 \cos \theta_1 G(r_1, r_2), \quad \langle Q \rangle_{|Z,2\rangle} = \sqrt{2} r_2 \cos \theta_2 \mathfrak{G}(r_1, r_2) \\ \langle P \rangle_{|Z,1\rangle} &= \sqrt{2} r_1 \sin \theta_1 G(r_1, r_2), \quad \langle P \rangle_{|Z,2\rangle} = \sqrt{2} r_2 \sin \theta_2 \mathfrak{G}(r_1, r_2) \end{aligned}$$

Since $G(r_1, r_2), \mathfrak{G}(r_1, r_2) < 1$, the above mean values are the truncated version of the ordinary harmonic oscillator mean values. Further, since the vectors $\chi_1 \otimes \phi_n$ and $\chi_2 \otimes \phi_n$ are orthogonal the mean values of the operators in the general set of VCS (5.5) can be directly obtained from the calculated mean values. For example,

$$(5.7) \quad \langle Q \rangle_{|Z\rangle} = |c_1|^2 \langle Q \rangle_{|Z,1\rangle} + |c_2|^2 \langle Q \rangle_{|Z,2\rangle}.$$

The mean value of H_D in the states $|Z, U, k\rangle$ of (5.6) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \langle H_D \rangle_{|Z,U,1\rangle} &= r_1^2 \cos^2 x G(r_1, r_2) + \frac{r_2^2 \omega_+}{\omega_-} \sin^2 x \mathfrak{G}(r_1, r_2) \\ \langle H_D \rangle_{|Z,U,2\rangle} &= \frac{r_1^2 \omega_-}{\omega_+} \sin^2 x G(r_1, r_2) + r_2^2 \cos^2 x \mathfrak{G}(r_1, r_2), \end{aligned}$$

which are the average energies of the particle, in the weak coupling limit, in the coherent state wavefunctions $|Z, U, k\rangle$. Here again one can write a general set of VCS

$$(5.8) \quad |Z, U\rangle = c'_1 |Z, U, 1\rangle + c'_2 |Z, U, 2\rangle,$$

where $c'_1, c'_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|c'_1|^2 + |c'_2|^2 = 1$, and obtain the mean value of H_D in the general set of VCS using a relation similar to (5.7). Roughly speaking, the dispersion of an observable characterizes ‘‘fuzziness’’ [27]. The dispersion of an operator F in a state $|\psi\rangle$ is given by

$$(\Delta F)_{|\psi\rangle}^2 = \langle \psi | F^2 | \psi \rangle - \langle \psi | F | \psi \rangle^2.$$

In order to obtain the dispersion, first we calculate the mean values of H_D^2 .

$$\langle H_D^2 \rangle_{|Z,1\rangle} = r_1^2(r_1^2 + \omega_+)G(r_1, r_2), \quad \langle H_D^2 \rangle_{|Z,2\rangle} = r_2^2(r_2^2 + \omega_-)\mathfrak{G}(r_1, r_2),$$

For the states (5.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle H_D^2 \rangle_{|Z,U,1\rangle} &= r_1^2(r_1^2 + \omega_+) \cos^2 x G(r_1, r_2) + \frac{\omega_+^2 r_2^2 (r_2^2 + \omega_-)}{\omega_-^2} \sin^2 x \mathfrak{G}(r_1, r_2), \\ \langle H_D^2 \rangle_{|Z,U,2\rangle} &= \frac{\omega_-^2 r_1^2 (r_1^2 + \omega_+)}{\omega_+^2} \sin^2 x G(r_1, r_2) + r_2^2 (r_2^2 + \omega_-) \cos^2 x \mathfrak{G}(r_1, r_2) \end{aligned}$$

For the general sets of states (5.5) and (5.8), the mean value of H_D^2 can be obtained using a relation similar to (5.7). The dispersion of H_D in different sets of VCS is now straightforward. In the same manner we obtain the dispersion of P and Q as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta Q)_{|Z,1\rangle}^2 &= 2r_1^2 \cos^2 \theta_1 G(r_1, r_2) \mathfrak{G}(r_1, r_2) + \frac{\omega_+}{2} G(r_1, r_2) \\ (\Delta Q)_{|Z,2\rangle}^2 &= 2r_2^2 \cos^2 \theta_2 G(r_1, r_2) \mathfrak{G}(r_1, r_2) + \frac{\omega_-}{2} \mathfrak{G}(r_1, r_2) \\ (\Delta P)_{|Z,1\rangle}^2 &= 2r_1^2 \sin^2 \theta_1 G(r_1, r_2) \mathfrak{G}(r_1, r_2) + \frac{\omega_+}{2} G(r_1, r_2) \\ (\Delta P)_{|Z,2\rangle}^2 &= 2r_2^2 \sin^2 \theta_2 G(r_1, r_2) \mathfrak{G}(r_1, r_2) + \frac{\omega_-}{2} \mathfrak{G}(r_1, r_2). \end{aligned}$$

Thereby one can obtain the uncertainty product $(\Delta Q)_{|Z,1\rangle}^2 (\Delta P)_{|Z,1\rangle}^2$ in a straightforward way. Noise is, loosely, any disturbance tending to interfere with the normal operation of a system. For a state $|\psi\rangle$ the signal-to-quantum-noise ratio (SNR) is defined as

$$\sigma_{|\psi\rangle} = \frac{\langle Q \rangle_{|\psi\rangle}}{(\Delta Q)_{|\psi\rangle}^2}.$$

A high SNR indicates that the noise dominate the measurement, a low SNR indicates a relatively clean measurement. The SNR for various VCS can be seen readily and thereby the noise associated with the measurements can be observed. For example,

$$\sigma_{|Z,1\rangle} = \frac{2r_1^2 \cos^2 \theta_1 G(r_1, r_2)^2}{4r_1^2 \cos^2 \theta_1 G(r_1, r_2) \mathfrak{G}(r_1, r_2) + \omega_- \mathfrak{G}(r_1, r_2)}.$$

Let $Z_{\pm}(t) = Ze^{-i\omega_{\pm}t}$. The time evolution operator of H_D takes the form

$$T(t) = e^{-iH_D t} = \text{diag} (e^{-iH_{D(+)}t}, e^{-iH_{D(-)}t}).$$

Since $T(t)\chi_1 \otimes \phi_n = e^{-i\omega_+ nt} \chi_1 \otimes \phi_n$ and $T(t)\chi_2 \otimes \phi_n = e^{-i\omega_- nt} \chi_2 \otimes \phi_n$ we have $T(t)|Z, 1\rangle = |Z_+(t), 1\rangle$ and $T(t)|Z, 2\rangle = |Z_-(t), 2\rangle$. Thus the VCS $|Z, k\rangle$ are temporally stable. Similarly the time evolution of $|Z, U, 1\rangle, |Z, U, 2\rangle$ and the

general sets of VCS can be seen. In terms of the state $|\psi\rangle$, the so-called Mandel parameter is given by

$$Q_{|\psi\rangle}^M = \frac{(\Delta H_D)_{|\psi\rangle}^2}{\langle H_D \rangle_{|\psi\rangle}} - 1.$$

Here again it is straightforward to calculate the Mandel parameter for the classes of VCS discussed above. For example,

$$Q_{|Z,1\rangle}^M = r_1^2 \mathfrak{G}(r_1, r_2) + \omega_+ - 1.$$

5.2. The radial harmonic oscillator with unbroken SUSY. For the sake of completeness, first we briefly introduce the radial harmonic oscillator with unbroken SUSY (for short RHO). In the supersymmetric setup the SUSY Hamiltonian can be written as

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} H_+ & 0 \\ 0 & H_- \end{pmatrix},$$

where (units are such that $\hbar = m = 1$)

$$H_{\pm} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V_{\pm}(x), \quad V_{\pm}(x) = \frac{1}{2}(W^2(x) \pm W'(x))$$

and $W : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the SUSY potential with M being the configuration space. The SUSY partner Hamiltonians can be written as

$$H_+ = AA^\dagger \geq 0, \quad H_- = A^\dagger A \geq 0,$$

where A and $A^\dagger$ are the supercharge operators:

$$A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{d}{dx} + W(x) \right), \quad A^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(-\frac{d}{dx} + W(x) \right).$$

Since $AH_- = H_+A$ and $H_-A^\dagger = A^\dagger H_+$ the Hamiltonians H_+ and H_- are essentially isospectral [30, 31]. However, there may exist an additional vanishing eigenvalue for one of these Hamiltonians. In this case SUSY is said to be unbroken and by convention this additional eigenvalue is assumed to belong to H_- . For the unbroken SUSY the situation can be summarized as follows:

$$H_{\pm} \psi_n^{\pm} = E_n^{\pm} \psi_n^{\pm}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_0^- &= 0, & \psi_0^-(x) &= C \exp \left(-\int W(x) dx \right) \\ E_{n+1}^- &= E_n^+ > 0, & \psi_{n+1}^-(x) &= \sqrt{E_n^+} A^\dagger \psi_n^+(x) \\ \psi_n^+(x) &= \sqrt{E_{n+1}^-} A \psi_{n+1}^-(x). \end{aligned}$$

and C is a normalization constant. We consider a conditionally exactly solvable RHO with $M = \mathbb{R}^+$ and

$$\begin{aligned} V_+(x) &= \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{(\gamma+1)(\gamma+1)}{2x^2} + \varepsilon - \gamma - \frac{3}{2}, \\ V_-(x) &= \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{\gamma(\gamma+2)}{2x^2} - \varepsilon - \gamma - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{u'(x)}{u(x)} \left(2x - 2\frac{\gamma+1}{x} + \frac{u'(x)}{u(x)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma \geq 0$, $\varepsilon > -1$ and

$$u(x) = {}_1F_1\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}, -\gamma - \frac{1}{2} - x^2\right) + \beta x^{2\gamma+3} {}_1F_1\left(2 + \gamma - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \frac{5}{2} + \gamma, -x^2\right).$$

Further, the positivity of the solutions requires the following conditions on the parameters (for details see [31]):

$$0 < \frac{\Gamma(-\gamma - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\varepsilon/2 - \gamma - 1)}, \quad |\beta| < \frac{\Gamma(-\gamma - \frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2})}{\Gamma(\varepsilon/2 - \gamma - 1)\Gamma(5/2 + \gamma)}.$$

With these potentials, as SUSY remain unbroken for all the allowed values of the parameters we have

$$H_{\pm}\psi_n^{\pm} = E_n^{\pm}\psi_n^{\pm}$$

where

$$E_{n+1}^{-} = E_n^{+} = 2n + 1 + \varepsilon, \quad E_0^{-} = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

and $\psi_n^{\pm}$ are the normalized energy states. The explicit expressions of $\psi_n^{\pm}$ and further details on the RHO can be found in [30, 31]. Let $e_n^{+} = E_n^{+} - E_0^{+} = 2n$, thereby we have

$$0 = e_0^{+} < e_1^{+} < \dots < e_n^{+} < \dots$$

Since $E_0^{-} = 0$ and E_n^{-} strictly increasing, we do not have to shift the spectrum backward. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{+}(n) &= e_1^{+} e_2^{+} \dots e_n^{+} = 2^n \Gamma(n+1) \\ \rho_{-}(n) &= E_1^{-} E_2^{-} \dots E_n^{-} = 2^n \left(\frac{\varepsilon+3}{2} \right)_n. \end{aligned}$$

where $(a)_n = \Gamma(n+a)/\Gamma(a)$ is the Pochhammer symbol. Let us identify the energy states $\psi_n^{\pm}$ to $\chi_j \otimes \phi_n$, $j = 1, 2$ as stated in (5.3) and take

$$R(n) = \text{diag}(\rho_{+}(n), \rho_{-}(n)), \quad Z = \text{diag}(z_1, z_2),$$

where z_1, z_2 are as in the previous example. With the above setup the set of vectors

$$(5.9) \quad |Z, j\rangle = \mathcal{N}(Z)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R(n)^{-\frac{1}{2}} Z^n \chi_j \otimes \phi_n, \quad j = 1, 2$$

forms a set of CS for the RHO, where

$$\mathcal{N}(Z) = e^{r_1^2/2} + {}_1F_1(1, \frac{\varepsilon+3}{2}, \frac{r_2^2}{2}) > 0,$$

which is finite for all $r_1, r_2 > 0$. A resolution of the identity is obtained with the measure

$$d\mu(Z) = \mathcal{N}(Z) \frac{r_1 r_2}{\pi^2 2^{\frac{\varepsilon+3}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{\varepsilon+3}{2})} e^{-r_1^2/2} e^{-r_2^2/2} dr_1 dr_2 d\theta_1 d\theta_2.$$

6. REMARKS AND DISCUSSION

In the case of broken SUSY H_{+} and H_{-} are strictly isospectral. The eigenvalues and eigenfunctions are related as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E_n^{-} &= E_n^{+} > 0 \\ \psi_n^{-}(x) &= \sqrt{E_n^{+}} A^{\dagger} \psi_n^{+}(x) \\ \psi_n^{+}(x) &= \sqrt{E_n^{-}} A \psi_n^{-}(x) \end{aligned}$$

In this case, it may be interesting to note that the quaternionic VCS discussed in [13, 14] can be realized as CS of the supersymmetric Hamiltonian

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} H_+ & 0 \\ 0 & H_- \end{pmatrix}$$

For this, let

$$e_n^+ = e_n^- = E_n^+ - E_0^+ = E_n^- - E_0^-.$$

Assume that

$$0 = e_0^+ = e_0^- < e_1^+ = e_1^- < \cdots < e_n^+ = e_n^- < \cdots.$$

Note that the radial harmonic oscillator with broken SUSY given in [31] satisfies this requirement. Let $\rho(n) = e_n^+! = e_n^-!$ and $Z = \text{diag}(z_1, z_2)$. Identify the wavefunctions $\psi_n^\pm$ to $\chi_j \otimes \phi_n$ as before. The set of vectors

$$(6.1) \quad |Z, j\rangle = \mathcal{N}(Z)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{Z^n}{\sqrt{\rho(n)}} \chi_j \otimes \psi_n, \quad j = 1, 2$$

forms a set of CS for the Hamiltonian H . Let $U \in SU(2)$ then

$$(6.2) \quad |Z, j\rangle = \mathcal{N}(Z)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(UZU^\dagger)^n}{\sqrt{\rho(n)}} \chi_j \otimes \psi_n, \quad j = 1, 2$$

form a set of CS with the same normalization constant of (6.1). In (6.1) if a resolution of the identity is obtained with the measure $d\mu(Z)$ then $d\mu(Z)d\nu(U)$ produce a resolution of the identity for the states (6.2), where $d\nu(U)$ is the normalized invariant measure of $SU(2)$ [14]. If $z_1 = z$, $z_2 = \bar{z}$ and $e_n^+ = e_n^- = n$ then we obtain the quaternionic VCS discussed in [13] (for a detailed explanation see [14]). In such a case, under the setup presented in [13], the states (6.2) satisfy the three equivalent definitions of the harmonic oscillator canonical CS (for details see [13]). However, since the partner Hamiltonians H_+ and H_- do not possess the same ladder operators [30, 31] for the Hamiltonian H the same properties may not be achieved in the present setup. In the literature, supercoherent states have been studied for a long time [32, 33, 34, 35]. Main attention has been paid on the supersymmetric linear harmonic oscillator. However, the methods used in the literature were different from the above setup. In most cases, supercoherent states were derived as eigenstates of a supersymmetric annihilation operator [35, 34]. For the supersymmetric harmonic oscillator they were also realized as the minimum uncertainty states with certain exceptions [35]. In [34] using a supergroup, supercoherent states were derived with a displacement operator. In a future work, for various supersymmetric Hamiltonians we shall study these features in detail under the VCS setup.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to one of the referees for his valuable comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ali, S.T., Antoine, J-P., Gazeau, J-P., *Coherent States, Wavelets and their Generalizations*, Springer-Verlag, New York (2000).
- [2] Klauder J.R., Skagerstam B.S., *Coherent States, Applications in Physics and Mathematical Physics*, World Scientific, Singapore, (1985).
- [3] Pérélmov, A.M., *Generalized Coherent States and Their Applications*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1986).
- [4] Borzov, V.V., *Integral Transforms and Special Functions* **12** (2001) 115-138.

- [5] Odziejewicz, A., *Commun. Math. Phys.* **192** (1998) 183-215.
- [6] Barut, A.O., Girardello, L., *Commun. Math. Phys.* **21** (1971) 41-55.
- [7] Cooper, L.I., *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **26** (1993) 1601-1623.
- [8] Popov, D., *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **34** (2001) 5283-5296.
- [9] Klauder, J.R., Penson, K., Sixdeniers, J-M., *Phys. Rev. A* **64** 013817.
- [10] Nieto, M.M., Simmons, L.M., *Phys. Rev. D.* **20** (1979) 1321-1331.
- [11] Gazeau, J-P., Klauder, J.R., *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **32** (1999) 123-132.
- [12] Novaes, M., Gazeau, J-P., *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **36** (2003) 199-212.
- [13] Thirulogasanthar, K., Ali, S.T., *J. Math. Phys.* **44** (2003) 5070-5083.
- [14] Ali, S.T., Englis, M., Gazeau, J-P., *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **37** (2004) 6067-6089.
- [15] Rowe, D.J., Repka, J., *J. Math. Phys.* **32** (1991) 2614-2634.
- [16] Daoud, M., Hussin, V., *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **35** (2002) 7381-7402.
- [17] Janowicz, M.W., Ashbourn, J.M.A., *Phys. Rev. A* **55**, (1997) 2348-2359.
- [18] Ashraf, M.M., *Phys. Rev. A* **50** (1994) 5116-5121.
- [19] Gao, Y.F., Feng, J., Shi, S.R., *Internat. J. Theoret. Phys.* **41** (2002) 867-875.
- [20] Thirulogasanthar, K., Hohou to, A.L., Preprint, math-ph/0308020.
- [21] Kochetov, E.A., *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **20** (1987) 2433-2442.
- [22] Kazakov, A.Y., *Phys. Lett. A* **206** (1995) 229-234.
- [23] Kleppner, D., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **47** (1981) 233-236.
- [24] Fujii, K., *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **36** (2003) 2109-2124.
- [25] Cirac, J.I., Blatt, R., Zoller, P., Phillips, W.D., *Phys. Rev. A* **46** (1992) 2668-2681.
- [26] Hughes, R.J. *et al.*, *Fortsch. Phys.* **46** (1998) 329-361.
- [27] Sakueai, J.J., *Modern Quantum Mechanics*, Addison-Wesley Pub. Co., Reading, Mass., (1994).
- [28] Plastina, F., Falci, G., *Phys. Rev. B* **67** (2003) 224514.
- [29] Amico, L., Hikami, K., Preprint, cond-mat/0309680.
- [30] Junker, G., Roy, P., *Phys. Atomic. Nuclei.* **61** (1998) 1736-1743.
- [31] Junker, G., Roy, P., *Phys. Lett. A* **232** (1997) 155-161.
- [32] Jayaraman, J., de Lima Rodrigues, R., Vaidya, A.N., *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **32** (1999) 6643-6652.
- [33] Orszak, M., Salamo, S., *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **21** (1988) L1059-L1064.
- [34] Fatyga, B.W., Kosteleck y, V.A., Nieto, M.M., Truax, D.R., *Phys. Rev. D.* **43** (1991) 1403-1412.
- [35] Aragone, C., Zypman, F., *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **19** (1986) 2267-2279.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY, 7141 SHERBROOKE STREET WEST, MONTREAL, QUEBEC H4B 1R6, CANADA

E-mail address: `santhar@cs.concordia.ca`

E-mail address: `al.hohoueto@yahoo.fr`